



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/896,616	06/30/2001	Tyler A. Lowrey	42390P11412 7217	
21906 7	590 06/04/2003			
TROP PRUN	ER & HU, PC	EXAMINER		
8554 KATY FI SUITE 100			PERT, EVAN T	
HOUSTON, T	X //024		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2829	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/896,616	LOWREY, TYLER A	. .			
7.4.7.66. 7 7.60.6	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Evan T. Pert	2829				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre	ess			
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic) a timely filed amendment whi al (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE e on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (2	ee MPEP extension fee ension fee under 2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 7-12						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	oroved by the∕ Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement 10. Other:		KAMAND CUNEO	ONICO			
	SUPER TEC	VISORY PATENT EXAIV HNOLOGY CENTER 28	00 111.a⊏ <i>Li</i>			

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 09/896,616

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The proposed amended claims would overcome pending prior art rejections of record based on applicant's "programmable material" being limited to a phase change material (i.e. a "programmable material" that can be reprogrammed repeatedly, which is distinct from the term "programmable material" appearing in Gordon's abstract, as applicable to antifuses). However, based on applicant's originally filed non-limiting disclosure, the scope of the term "programmable material" at the time of final rejection was reasonably the art accepted definition such as appearing verbatim in the abstract of Gordon.

While applicant's proposed amendment in view of the narrower scope of "programmable material" would overcome the pending final rejection, further search and consideration would be necessary because applicant's response with amendment raises a new issue in that the broadest reasonable scope of applicant's term "programmable material" has shifted after final, now narrower than the art accepted definition used as a basis for the final rejection (see paper no. 12, p. 3, 2nd paragraph).

Based on a preliminary consideration and search using the narrower scope of "programmable material" established in paper no. 12, applicant's proposed amended claims 7 and 12 are anticipated by Harshfield (U.S. 6,420,725), Fig. 8, wherein the dielectric 50 is "on" contact 42 (i.e. conductive material 42 is a so-called "electrical contact" and dielectric 50 is 'on' and even is 'in contact with' the contact 42), the dielectric spacers 44, 48 expose a smaller area exposed on the contact within an opening in the dielectric 50, wherein programmable material 46 comprises chalcogenide.